2017-2018 Assessment Cycle UC_Honors Program

1

Mission (due 12/4/17)

University Mission

The University of Louisiana at Lafayette offers an exceptional education informed by diverse worldviews grounded in tradition, heritage, and culture. We develop leaders and innovators who advance knowledge, cultivate aesthetic sensibility, and improve the human condition.

University Values

We strive to create a community of leaders and innovators in an environment that fosters a desire to advance and disseminate knowledge. We support the mission of the university by actualizing our core values of equity, integrity, intellectual curiosity, creativity, tradition, transparency, respect, collaboration, pluralism, and sustainability.

University Vision

We strive to be included in the top 25% of our peer institutions by 2020, improving our national and international status and recognition.

College / VP and Program / Department Mission

Mission of College or VP-area

Provide the mission for the College or VP-area in the space provided. If none is available, write "None Available in 2017-2018."

MISSION – University College provides an academic environment to facilitate the matriculation of a diverse population of intellectually-capable undergraduate students: wishing to pursue a unique and coherent interdisciplinary program of study in an area in which there does not exist an established curriculum; who initially selected a major for which they lack the interest or preparation necessary for success, but possess a specific and attainable career development plan; or who are transitioning into other colleges and pursuing the academic requirements necessary for admission into their preferred major. In meeting the needs of these students, University College promotes retention at the University of Louisiana at Lafayette, collaborates with other colleges to elevate to the collective quality of campus learning, and enables and empowers students to achieve success in their chosen field of graduate study and/or occupation. Indeed, a distinguishing characteristic of the college is its program of intensive developmental initiatives geared toward enhancing student accomplishment.

VISION – To become a college of choice for incoming freshmen desiring to pursue an individualistic multidisciplinary curriculum founded in a strong core of liberal education that imbues students with broad knowledge, transferable skills, and a strong sense of civic duty.

Mission of Program / Department

Provide the program / department mission in the space provided. The mission statement should concisely define the purpose, functions, and key constituents. If none is available, write "None Available in 2017-2018."

The University Honors Program offers undergraduate students a series of opportunities for excellence and creativity in the form of exceptional courses, independent study, colloquia, research, and internships. Our students find their place among a large but intimate community of scholars in every discipline. We support intellectual freedom, celebrate individuality, and hope each graduate will become a healthy contributor to society and a life-long learner. Our motto is "per sapientiam felicitas" which means "through knowledge, happiness."

Attachment (optional)

Upload any documents which support the program / department assessment process.

Assessment Plan (due 12/4/17)

Assessment Plan (Goals / Objectives, Assessment Measures and Criteria for Success)

Assessment List				
Goal/Objective				nors level work with respect to Content, Critical Thinking, Management(Imported)
Legends	SLO - Studen	t Learning	Out	tcome/Objective (academic units);
Standards/Outco mes				
	Identifier		De	escription
	Faculty SI.F SI 4.KPI 12	aculty		ovide faculty with the infrastructure needed to observe, evaluate, and ovide constructive feedback on their instruction.
	Student SI.S SI 3	Student		crease student productivity and success through engagement in entored research, innovative projects, and creative endeavors.
	Student SI.S SI 3.KPI 11	Student		evelop an undergraduate research initiative that will provide research portunities for all undergraduate students, regardless of major.
Assessment Measures				
	Assessme nt Measure	Criterio	n	Attachments
	Indirect - Survey - Faculty (Other)	Faculty asked to complete survey o student with who they undertoo an Hono Contract Survey addresse SLO's concerni whether the stude exceede their expectat or failed meet the content o	e a n om ok rs : ed ng ent d ion sir ion to sir ion	Learning_Outcomes_for_Honors_Contract_ORIGINAL_SURVEY_S HEET.docx

the class	
and the	
contract,	
application	
of critical	
thinking to	
the	
contract,	
ability to	
communica	
te the	
ideas and	
concepts	
used or	
discovered	
in the	
contract,	
and	
whether or	
not the	
student	
showed the	
ability to	
design a	
problem-	
solving	
strategy for	
the	
contract.	
Work is	
rated	
according	
to	
Exceeds,	
Meets or	
Fails to	
Meet	
Expectatio	
ns for	
Honors	
Work (Fall	
2017-	
Spring	
2018). See	
attached	
example.	
Assessme	
nt device	
created in	
Spring of	
2010 to	
measure	
SLO's of	
Honors	
Contracts.	
Faculty	

asked to	
assess	
student	
contracts	
for Fall	
2017 and	
Spring	
2018 in	
early May.	
Faculty is	
asked to	
return data	
within 2	
weeks.	
Assessme	
nt device	
may be	
changed	
for more	
detailed	
assessmen	
t. Student	
achieveme	
nt in past	
semesters	
at 85%	
Meets or	
Exceeds	
Expectatio	
ns	

Goal/Objective	Students will be	able to craft a work of indeper	ndent research and thinking(Imported)
Legends	SLO - Student L	earning Outcome/Objective (a	cademic units);
Standards/Outcomes			
	Identifier	Description	
	Student SI.Student SI		tivity and success through engagement in vative projects, and creative endeavors.
Assessment Measures			
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments
	Direct - Thesis	Assessment of SLO's for Honors Theses covering Content, Critical Thinking, Communication, Integrity/Ethics and Project	Learning_Outcomes_for_Honors_Thesis.docx

Management according to	
Exceeds, Meets or Fails to	
Meet expectations for	
Thesis Work at the	
Undergraduate Level	
(Spring 2017-Spring 2018).	
Faculty chairs of Honor	
Theses committees asked	
to evaluate student thesis	
work. Survey addresses	
SLO's concerning whether	
the student exceeded their	
expectation, met their	
expectation, met their expectation or failed to meet	
their expectation on: the	
content of the thesis; the	
critical thinking involved in	
the thesis process; the student's ability to suitably	
communicate the research	
and creative process on the	
subject of their thesis; the	
whether or not the student	
practiced appropriate professional standards of	
behavior regarding their	
research and respect for	
intellectual property, and	
their abilities regarding	
management of the project	
as a whole. *Sample	
attached with this e-mail.	
Assessment device sent in	
late November early	
December in the Fall	
semester and late April	
early May in the Spring	
semester. Achievement	
based on 85% Meets or	
Exceeds Expectations.	

Goal/Objective		ack the success of students taking responsibility to construct their own ough contracting courses(Imported)
Legends	SLO - Student Learning	g Outcome/Objective (academic units);
Standards/Outcomes		
	Identifier	Description
	Faculty SI.Faculty SI 4.KPI 12	Provide faculty with the infrastructure needed to observe, evaluate, and provide constructive feedback on their instruction.

	Student SI.Stud SI 3	ent	Increase student productivity and success through engatement of the search, innovative projects, and creative er	
	Student SI.Stud SI 3.KPI 11	ent	Develop an undergraduate research initiative that will p research opportunities for all undergraduate students, r major.	
Assessment Measures	Assessment	Crit	terion	Attachments
	Measure Indirect - Survey - Faculty (Other)	Spri sho not awh Awa Mee con add thei mor Cor num	unt number of Honors Contracts between Fall 2016 and ing 2017 as compared to Fall 2017 and Spring 2018 to w increase or decrease in usage. Honors Contracts created or introduced until Fall of 2008. They took hile to be accepted by both faculty and students. areness created by announcements at Deans etings, Faculty meetings, Student Honors Seminar and tacting individual students. Contract specifically lressed ability of students to access deeper learning in ir chosen fields. Increase in contract use indicates re accessibility of Honors Learning increased. ntinued rise in use of contracts. Honors will track the nber of contracts per semester and create a mparative analysis for the years 2016 to 2020.	

Increase availability of	honors courses(Imported)	
OO - Outcome/Objectiv	ve (administrative units);	
Identifier	Description	
Faculty SI.Faculty SI 4.KPI 12		
Student SI.Student SI 3		0 0
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments
Indirect - Academic Measure (Other)	Count of honors courses. Create new honors courses each year and help implement already established courses.	
	OO - Outcome/Objectiv Identifier Faculty SI.Faculty SI 4.KPI 12 Student SI.Student SI 3 Assessment Measure Indirect - Academic	Faculty SI.Faculty SI 4.KPI 12 Provide faculty with the infrastructure needed to obs and provide constructive feedback on their instructio Student SI.Student SI 3 Increase student productivity and success through e mentored research, innovative projects, and creative Assessment Measure Criterion Indirect - Academic Count of honors courses. Create new honors

Goal/Objective	Increase incomin	g and	current student participation in Honors Thesis.	
Legends	SLO - Student Le	earning	g Outcome/Objective (academic units);	
Standards/Outcomes	;			
	Identifier		Description	
	Student SI.Stud SI 1	dent	Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (und and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and adults).	
	Student SI.Stud SI 1.KPI 2	dent	Expand recruitment of high-potential undergraduate and students, which embraces diversity and enhances the u	
	Student SI.Stud SI 3	dent	Increase student productivity and success through enga mentored research, innovative projects, and creative er	
	Student SI.Stud SI 3.KPI 11	dent	Develop an undergraduate research initiative that will presearch opportunities for all undergraduate students, remajor.	
Assessment Measures	Assessment Measure	Crite	erion	Attachments

Goal/Objective		ntion and graduation in the program in comparison to general retention for the university. Special attention to student retention from the first to d
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objec	tive (administrative units);
Standards/Outcomes	Identifier	Description
	Student SI.Student SI 1	Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 1	Implement and sustain student support to retain and graduate students.

Assessment Measures			
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments
	Indirect - Academic Indirect Measure (Other)	Using figures from the Office of Institutional Research we intend to continue to track graduation rates and retention rates on an annual basis. Additionally, we intend to focus on retention in the first two years, as well as compare results to overall university figures. With this data the program hopes to incentivize and increase enrollment into the Honors Program. Compile data for the years 2007 to 2016/2017 with the help of Greg Ohlenforst in the Office of Institutional Research.	

Goal/Objective	Implement new ways	of increasing undergraduate research.(Imported)	
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objec	tive (administrative units);	
Standards/Outcomes			
	Identifier	Description	
	Student SI.Student	t Increase student productivity and success through eng- mentored research, innovative projects, and creative en	•
	Student SI.Student SI 3.KPI 11	 Develop an undergraduate research initiative that will p research opportunities for all undergraduate students, r major. 	
Assessment Measures	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments

Goal/Objective	Update and remodel third floor of Honors building to create larger classroom facilities, new media lab, and enhance storage space.(Imported)
Legends	PO - Program Objective (academic units); OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);
Standards/Outcomes	

Faculty	Significantly upgrade academic facilities related to instru-	ction in order to				
SI.Faculty SI 1	meet or exceed the quality of those at peer institutions. Within the first year, develop a master plan to evaluate and prioritize					
Faculty SI.Faculty SI 1.KPI 1	Within the first year, develop a master plan to evaluate a upgrades to academic facilities.	nd prioritize				
Faculty SI.Faculty SI 1.KPI 3						
Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 2	Expand recruitment of high-potential undergraduate and graduate students, which embraces diversity and enhances the university					
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments				
Indirect - Academic Indirect Measure (Other)	Determine the increase in funding for development of facilities. In conjunction with students, parents, and community, raise \$500,000 to refurbish third floor facilities. Collaborate with University offices on campus to create opportunities through networking to contribute to refurbishment.					
	SI.Faculty SI 1.KPI 1 Faculty SI.Faculty SI 1.KPI 3 Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 2 Assessment Measure Indirect - Academic Indirect	SI.Faculty SI upgrades to academic facilities. 1.KPI 1 Equip 90 percent of all classrooms with minimum digital to internet access, laptop/computer workstations, LCD projects screens, and sound systems. Student Expand recruitment of high-potential undergraduate and students, which embraces diversity and enhances the unstational students. Assessment Criterion Indirect - Academic Indirect Determine the increase in funding for development of facilities. In conjunction with students, parents, and community, raise \$500,000 to refurbish third floor facilities. Collaborate with University offices on campus to create opportunities through networking to contribute				

Goal/Objective		Assessment function for assessing program effectiveness regarding excellence in student earning.(Imported)					
Legends	OO - Outcome/0	Objective (administra	ative units);				
Standards/Outcomes							
	Identifier	Description					
	StudentRecruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).						
	Student SI.Student SI						
Assessment Measures							
	Assessment Measure						
	Indirect - Exit Interviews	Gather information detailing supplemental education	Senior_Exit_Survey_FALL_2016.docx Analysis_Sheet_for_Senior_Exit_Survey_Fall_2016.docx				

opportunities of the Honors Program taken by Honors students, as well as Honors Program initiatives for student success, and how program expands learning abilities in different ways. For cycle, create and administer exit surveys for each semester	
exit surveys for	
that provide baseline data about institutional	
effectiveness.	

Provide Biology with funding for student research.					
PO - Program Obj	jective (academic units);				
omes					
Identifier	Description				
FacultySignificantly upgrade academic facilities related to instruction in ordSI.Faculty SI 1meet or exceed the quality of those at peer institutions.					
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Attachments			
Direct - Project	Honors Program donating \$5,000 to Biology department for new undergraduate research laboratory. Project completion will be monitored by continued updates via electronic communications.				
	PO - Program Obj Identifier Faculty SI.Faculty SI 1 Assessment Measure	PO - Program Objective (academic units); Identifier Description Faculty Significantly upgrade academic facilities related to instruct meet or exceed the quality of those at peer institutions. Assessment Criterion Measure Honors Program donating \$5,000 to Biology department for new undergraduate research laboratory. Project completion will be monitored by continued updates via			

Goal/Objective	Provide 8 new scholarships, 3 new research scholarships, and 2 new study abroad scholarships.
Legends	PO - Program Objective (academic units);
Standards/Outcom	
es	

	Identifier	De	escripti	otion			
	Student SI.Student Si		Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).				
Assessment Measures							
	Assessme nt Measure	Criterio	on	Attachments			
	Indirect - Honors / Awards	The Hol Program provide Fall sen scholars of \$500 to sophom and abc includin Honors Scholar in Politic Science Honors Scholar in Scholar in Movir Image A UL Hon Scholar in Biolog UL Hon Scholar in Busin UL Hon Scholar	n will in the nester ships each nores ove, ig: UL rship logy, iors rship anities n, phy or iges), iors rship anities n, phy or iges), iors rship anities n, jul	Scholarship_application_FALL_2017_NO_BURKE.pdf New_Scholarships_for_Scholarship_List_and_Scholarship_For m.docx			

	_
Scholarships	
for	
Baccalaureat	
e Students	
for their	
success in	
ongoing	
Undergradua	
te thesis	
research.	
The Honors	
Program will	
provide in the	
Spring	
semester	
scholarships	
of \$500 each	
to	
sophomores	
and above,	
including: UL	
Honors Study	
Abroad	
Scholarships	
– 2 at \$1,000	
each.	
<u> </u>	

Goal/Objective	Increase the num	Increase the number of informed, incoming students to the Honors Program.						
Legends	SLO - Student Lea (academic units);	ing Outcome/Objective (academic units); PO - Program Objective					
Standards/Outcomes								
	Identifier Description							
	Student SI.Student SI 1							
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 2Expand recruitment of high-potential undergraduate and graduate students, which embraces diversity and enhances the university							
Assessment								
Measures								
	Assessment MeasureCriterionAttachments							
	Indirect - Graduate Acceptance	Three officer positions were created on the students to commit to recruitment practiches. Students were asked to devise ecruiting protocol for attending high sch	ctices at high an active					

		asked to set up the appointments. Honors board members will attend high schools to provide possible incoming students an experiential view of what the Honors Program means for a college student. Also, high school students will discuss with board members the implications of a good education, the opportunities provided for research and discovery in Honors, and the freshman thesis and baccalaureate scholarship. The number of student gains from Fall 2017 before the recruitment and Fall 2018 after recruitment will be assessed through a data count of the number of incoming freshmen and an analysis of the high schools attended by our Honors Board officers.
--	--	--

Program / Department Assessment Narrative

The primary purpose of assessment is to use data to inform decisions and improve programs (student learning) and departments (operations); this is an on-going process of defining goals and expectations, collecting results, analyzing data, comparing current and past results and initiatives, and making decisions based on these reflections. In the space below, describe the program's or department's overall plan for improving student learning and/or operations (the "assessment plan"). Consider the following:

1) What strategies exist to assess the outcomes?

2) What does the program/department expect to achieve with the goals and objectives identified above?

3) How might prior or current initiatives (improvements) influence the anticipated outcomes this year?

4) What is the plan for using data to improve student learning and/or operations?

5) How will data be shared within the Program/Department (and, where appropriate, the College/VP-area)?

Assessment Process

Currently to assess outcomes, multiple data assessment tools are used to collect the needed data including surveys, rubrics, and reported formal communications, and Honors personnel are directed to create data collection tools and distribute the tools. The department hopes to achieve more growth for the Honors Program, as well as a continued improvement in the operations, services, and opportunities that the Honors Program entails for its students, faculty, and staff. All prior initiatives are rolling over semester by semester to provide annual tracking for student success, facilities improvement, and faculty/staff operational success. Current initiatives: added scholarships for incoming freshmen; continued tracking of learning outcomes for Thesis and Contracts; continued tracking of added Honors classes; building and facilities funding and subsequent improvements; and recruiting improvements are likely going to increase the amount of data available and help expand on the Honors Program's future stability in serving students. As well, these initiatives will provide more stable systems for the Honors Program to assess its progress. The overall plan for data collected is to provide valuable information about the success of our student's ability to connect to critical thinking components, communicate effectively, and recognize appropriate organizational and content management. As well, operational data such as the exit survey or continued retention data is being used to track trends in helpfulness of our opportunities and recognize needed changes in operational needs, such as added classes, faculty participation, and student participation. Weekly meetings provide opportunities to share data in a report format within the Honors staff, and monthly meetings data can be shared orally with the Honors Board (the elected student body for Honors). Data can be shared both on the website for the University Honors Program in sections of outcomes and growth assessment, as well as in a detailed annual report that can be arranged and sent to the Dean of University College and the Provost.

Results & Improvements (due 9/15/18)

Results and Improvement Narratives

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for All students will perform honors level work with respect to Content, Critical Thinking, Communication and Project Management(Imported)

Goal/Objective	All students will perform honors level work with respect to Content, Critical Thinking, Communication and Project Management(Imported)						
Legends	SLO - Stude	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);					
Standards/Out comes							
	Identifier			Description			
	Faculty SI SI 4.KPI 12				y with the infrastructure needed to observe, evalu ructive feedback on their instruction.	ate, and	
	Student SI SI 3	.Studen	t		ent productivity and success through engagement earch, innovative projects, and creative endeavors		
	Student SI SI 3.KPI 11		t		ndergraduate research initiative that will provide re for all undergraduate students, regardless of majo		
Assessment Measures							
	Assessme Measure	ent	Crit	terion			
Assessment	Indirect - S - Faculty (C						
Findings	Assess ment MeasureCriterionSummaryAttachments of the AssessmentsImpr ment Narra						
	Indirect - Survey - Faculty (Other)	Has the criterio Faculty asked comple	n / to	Student Learning Outcomes were assessed	Learning_Outcomes_for_Honors_Contracts_F all_2017.docx Learning_Outcomes_for_Honors_Contracts_S pring_2018.docx	s - Assessme nt Process: Continuou	

a survey	for	s
on	contracts	monitorin
student	for the	g:
with	Spring	Continue
whom	2018	to use
they	semester.	SLO's to
undertoo	For each	track
k an	section it	student
Honors	was	progress
Contract.	determined	with
Survey	whether	contract
addresse	students	usage.
d SLO's	Met or	-
concernin	Exceeded	Assessme
g whether	Expectation	nt
the	s. These	Process:
student	two sectors	Results
exceeded	were added	Discussed
their	to give the	/ Shared:
expectati	scores for	Additionall
on, met	each item.	y track
their	Spring	Fall
expectati	2018	semester
on or	Content:	compariso
failed to	99.6%;	ns in
meet	Critical	future
their	Thinking:	data
expectati	99.9%;	results.
on on the	Communica	results.
content of	tion: 99.2%;	
the class	Project	
and the	Manageme	
contract,	nt: 100%.	
applicatio	Honors	
n of	students	
critical	met the	
thinking	Expectation	
to the	s of at least	
contract,	99% Meets	
ability to	or Exceeds	
communi	Expectation	
cate the	s. Scores	
ideas and	were	
concepts	calculated	
used or	using	
discovere	adjusted	
d in the	values that	
contract,	removed	
and	responses	
whether	that were	
or not the	not	
student	applicable,	
showed		
	usually	
the ability	meaning	
to design	the SLO	
а	form was	

problem-	left blank or	
solving	a SLO	
strategy	rubric was	
for the	not	
contract.	attached by	
Work is	the	
rated	professor.	
	PI0100001.	
according		
to Eveneda		
Exceeds,		
Meets or		
Fails to		
Meet		
Expectati		
ons for		
Honors		
Work		
(Fall		
2017-		
Spring		
2018).		
See		
attached		
example.		
Assessm		
ent		
device		
created in		
Spring of		
2010 to		
measure		
SLO's of		
Honors		
Contracts		
. Faculty		
asked to		
assess		
student		
contracts		
for Fall		
2017 and		
Spring		
2018 in		
early		
May.		
Faculty is		
asked to		
return		
data		
within 2		
weeks.		
Assessm		
ent		
device		
may be		
changed		

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Students will be able to craft a work of independent research and thinking(Imported)

		be able to craft a work of independent research and thinking(Imported)					
Legends	SLO - Student Le	arning Outcome/Objective (academic units);					
Standards/Out comes							
	Identifier	Description					
	Student SI.Student SI 3	Increase student productivity and success through engagement in mentored research, innovative projects, and creative endeavors.					
Assessment Measures							
	Assessment Measure	Criterion					
	Direct - Thesis	Assessment of SLO's for Honors Theses covering Content, Critical Thinking, Communication, Integrity/Ethics and Project Management according to Exceeds, Meets or Fails to Meet expectations for Thesis Work at the Undergraduate Level					

indings		1			1
	Assess ment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improve ment Narrative s
	Direct - Thesis	Has the criterion Assessmen t of SLO's for Honors Theses covering Content, Critical Thinking, Communica tion, Integrity/Eth ics and Project Manageme nt according to Exceeds, Meets or Fails to Meet expectation s for Thesis Work at the Undergradu ate Level (Spring 2017- Spring 2017- Spring 2018). Faculty chairs of Honor Theses committees asked to evaluate student thesis work. Survey addresses SLO's concerning whether the student exceeded their	The plan to email faculty earlier in the Spring semester was executed for the Spring 2018 semester. Fall 2017 semester was not assessed, however, because Learning Outcomes sheet was reworked for thesis to include "Integrity/Et hics" sector, but not sent out in time for timely responses. Spring 2018 results for two (2) Theses completed showed that all sectors (Content, Critical Thinking, Communica tion, Integrity/Eth ics, and Project	Briley_Higginbotham_Thesis_Learning_Out comes_2018.jpg Emily_Tagensen_Thesis_Learning_Outcom es_2018.jpg	s - Policy / Process / Procedura I: For Fall 2017, SLO's were sent out too late to be processed , and collection of those SLO's did not have a folder or file to be saved to. SLO's will be saved to. SLO's will be sent the day after their committee presentati on as part of procedure

, met their	nt) of the		
expectation	criterion for		
or failed to	SLO's		
meet their	Exceeded		
expectation	Expectation		
on: the	s for both		
	theses		
content of			
the thesis;	workers.		
the critical	Comment		
thinking	sections		
involved in	were added		
the thesis	to allow		
process;	professors		
the	to assess		
student's	students		
ability to	more		
suitably	specifically:		
communica	"Briley did		
te the	an		
research	outstanding		
and	job on her		
creative	Honors		
process on	Thesis.		
the subject	What I		
of their	found		
thesis; the	helpful as		
whether or	director		
not the	was to work		
student	with her to		
practiced	come up		
appropriate	with a		
professiona	deadline of		
l standards	March 1 for		
of behavior	a rough		
regarding	draft, which		
their	, I		
research	commented		
and respect	on, and		
for	then a later		
intellectual	deadline at		
property,	the end of		
and their	March for		
abilities	her to		
regarding	submit her		
manageme	revised		
nt of the	thesis to		
project as a	entire		
whole.	committee		
*Sample	for		
attached	comments."		
with this e-	- Dr.		
mail.	Jennifer		
Assessmen	Vaught,		
t device	Chair		
sent in late	"Emily grew		
November	from a quiet		
	nom a quict	I	

earlystudent with limitedDecemberlimitedin the Fallconfidencesemesterinto anand lateaspiringApril earlyresearcherMay in thewithSpringdirectionsemester.and careerAchievemegoalsnt based onduring this85% Meetsproject. Theor Exceedschange inExpectationher wass. been metnoted byyet?many onMetour faculty.I think thisthesis was a lifea lifechangingexperiencefor her." -for her." -Dr. DavidBellar, Chair Inincluding thesecomments a more fullpiotter of
--

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Honors Program will track the success of students taking responsibility to construct their own academic success through contracting courses(Imported)

Goal/Objecti ve	Honors Program will track the success of students taking responsibility to construct their own academic success through contracting courses(Imported)						
Legends	SLO - Student Learning	Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);					
Standards/O utcomes							
	Identifier	Description					
Faculty SI.Faculty SI 4.KPI 12		Provide faculty with the infrastructure needed to observe, evaluate, and provide constructive feedback on their instruction.					
	Student SI.Student SI 3	Increase student productivity and success through engagement in mentored research, innovative projects, and creative endeavors.					
	Student SI.Student SI 3.KPI 11	Develop an undergraduate research initiative that will provide research opportunities for all undergraduate students, regardless of major.					

Assessment							
Measures	Assessm Measure		Criterion				
	Indirect - - Faculty	Survey ((Other) c 	Count number of Honors Contracts between Fall 2016 and Spring 2017 as ompared to Fall 2017 and Spring 2018 to show increase or decrease in usage. Ionors Contracts not created or introduced until Fall of 2008. They took awhile to e accepted by both faculty and students. Awareness created by announcements t Deans Meetings, Faculty meetings, Student Honors Seminar and contacting ndividual students. Contract specifically addressed ability of students to access eeper learning in their chosen fields. Increase in contract use indicates more ccessibility of Honors Learning increased. Continued rise in use of contracts. Ionors will track the number of contracts per semester and create a comparative nalysis for the years 2016 to 2020.				
Assessment Findings							
	Assess ment Measur e	Criterion	Summa ry	Attachments of the Assessments	Improve ment Narrativ es		
	Indirect - Survey - Faculty (Other)	Has the criterion Count number of Honors Contracts between Fall 2016 and Spring 2017 as compare d to Fall 2017 and Spring 2018 to show increase or decrease in usage. Honors Contracts not created or introduce d until Fall of		Comparison_of_Honors_Completed_Contracts_Betwe en_Fall_2016_to_Spring_2018.docx	- Student/ Faculty Support (for Educatio nal Program s): Providing more one on one interactio n with professor s about usage of contracts , how they work, and how to involve students in contracts will continue to be		

They	which to	on to
took	assess	departme
awhile to	SLOs;	nts. A
be	all	packet
	complet	with
by both	ed	informati
faculty	contract	on for
	s, even	new
	if they	professor
	did not	s is sent
SS	possess	each
created	an	semester
by	attached	to
announc	SLO	departme
	rubric	nts to
	from the	provide
	professo	informati
.	r, were	on to
	counted.	these
	Totals	new
Honors	show a	additions
	decreas	to the
	e in	faculty,
	complet	and
	ed	explanati
U	contract	ons of
	s from	what a
Contract	Spring	contract
	2017 to	is are
y.	Spring	also
addresse	2018,	included.
	from 288	-
	to 276.	Curricula
	Although	r Change
	a minor	:
deeper	decreas	Universit
learning	e,	y 100
	contract	classes
	usage is	and
fields.	showing	ENGL
Increase	a steady	365
in	rate of	classes
contract	continue	will be
	d use.	made
indicates	Out of	available
more	1,500	as a way
accessibil	students	to
ity of	,	include
	approxi	more
Learning	mately,	entering
	276	students
	students	and 3rd
	still	year
	yields a	students
use of	result of	in the
contracts.	18%	opportuni

Honorsusage ofwill trackHonorsthecontractnumbers byofHonorscontractsstudentsperto meetsemestertheirandrequiremcreate aents ofcomparat18 creditivehours ofanalysisHonorsfor theclasses.years2016 to2020.been metyet?Met	ty to expand their educatio n through contracti ng a class.	n
--	--	---

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Increase availability of honors courses(Imported)

Goal/Objective	Increase availability of honors courses(Imported)								
Legends	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);								
Standards/Outcomes									
	Identifier	Identifier Description							
	Faculty SI.Faculty SI 4.KPI 12Provide faculty with the infrastructure needed to observe, e and provide constructive feedback on their instruction.								
	Student SI.Stu SI 3				and success throug projects, and crea				
Assessment Measures									
	Assessment M	leasure	Criterio	า					
	Indirect - Acad Measure (Othe				Create new honor dy established cou	s courses each year Irses.			
	· · · · ·				-				
Assessment Findings									
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Sui	nmary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives			
	Indirect - Academic	Has the criterion Count of	dep	nors provides artmental and ss-listed course		- Assessment Process: Continuous			

Measure	honors	options through	monitoring:
(Other)	courses.	multiple	Continue to count
	Create new	departments. For	and assess new
	honors	Fall 2017, 18	classes that are
	courses each	departmental	added.
	year and help	Honors courses, 18	- Assessment
	implement	UNIV 100 courses,	Process: Goals /
	already	and 25 cross-listed	Outcomes /
	established	Honors courses	Objectives
	courses. been	were available for	changed: Include ir
	met yet?	students to take.	objectives that
	Met	For Spring 2018, 15	classes are
		departmental	maintained for
		Honors courses and	multiple semesters
		26 cross-listed	ENGL 366 was
		Honors courses	added as an
		were available for	Honors Technical
		students to take.	Writing course in
		Honors was able to,	Spring 2018, but
		in conjunction with	was only offered
		the Office of First	the one semester
		Year Experience,	and may not be
		offer 18 new	offered again.
		courses of Honors	
		UNIV 100 to	
		students. In	
		addition, 2 new	
		Kinesiology cross-	
		listed classes and 3	
		Criminal Justice	
		cross-listed classes	
		were added.	

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Increase incoming and current student participation in Honors Thesis.

Goal/Objective	Increase incoming and	current student participation in Honors Thesis.					
Legends	SLO - Student Learning	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units);					
Standards/Outcomes							
	Identifier	dentifier Description					
	Student SI.Student SI 1	Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).					
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 2	Expand recruitment of high-potential undergraduate and graduate students, which embraces diversity and enhances the university					
	Student SI.Student SI 3	Increase student productivity and success through engagement in mentored research, innovative projects, and creative endeavors.					
	Student SI.Student SI 3.KPI 11	Develop an undergraduate research initiative that will provide research opportunities for all undergraduate students, regardless of major.					

Assessment Measures		1						
	Assessment Measure	Criterion						
	Direct - Thesis							
Assessment Findings								
-	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives			
	Direct - Thesis	Has the criterion Increase in student enrollment in HONR 497 Thesis Prep to encourage students to undertake Baccalaureate Degree work. Scholarship application will be provided for incoming high school students online to apply for a \$1,000 scholarship to be received each academic year for a total of 4 years of thesis work and a total scholarship of \$4,000. Increase of at least 5 Thesis Prep Students Each Academic Year added to the HONR 497 roster. been met yet?	Five incoming students were selected from a pool of eight (8) students that applied for the scholarship. These students will not be added to HONR 497 until Sophomore year. Still, enrollment in HONR 497 for Spring 2018 was 12, and in Fall 2017, was 13, showing a steady number of students using Thesis Preparation in order to graduate with a Baccalaureate degree.		- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: Continue to provide "Honors Excellence" scholarship to incoming freshmen and track progress for HONR 497. - Assessment Process: Targets / Criteria for Success changed: Instead of focusing on increase in number of Thesis Prep students added to HONR 497, count the number of students about to enter Thesis Prep and those in Thesis Prep.			

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Increase student retention and graduation in the program in comparison to general retention and graduation rates for the university. Special attention to student retention from the first to second year.(Imported)

Goal/Objective	Increase student retention and graduation in the program in comparison to general retention and graduation rates for the university. Special attention to student retention from the first to second year.(Imported)								
Legends	OO - Outcome/0	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);							
Standards/Outcomes									
	Identifier	Identifier Description							
	Student SI.Student SI	1				s (undergraduate and and returning adults).			
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 1		Implement and sustain student support to retain and graduat students.						
Assessment Measures									
	Assessment Measure		Criterion						
Assessment Findings	Assessment Measure	Crit	terion	Summary	Attachments of the	Improvement Narratives			
	Indirect - Academic Indirect Measure (Other)	Usin the Inst Res to c grad and on a Adc inte rete two as c	s the criterion ng figures from Office of titutional search we intend continue to track duation rates I retention rates an annual basis. ditionally, we end to focus on ention in the first years, as well compare results overall university	Office of Institutional Research did not provide data results as of current assessment cycle.	Assessments	- Student/Faculty Support (for Administrative Units): Continue to interact with Greg Ohlenforst in Office of Institutional Research (OIR) to insure a continued data collection as requested in an annual report from OIR. - Assessment Process:			

figures. With this	Continuous
data the program	monitoring:
hopes to incentivize	Continue to monitor
and increase	receipt of data from
enrollment into the	OIR, and keep an
Honors Program.	email chain.
Compile data for	- Assessment
the years 2007 to	Process: Targets /
2016/2017 with the	Criteria for Success
help of Greg	changed: Keep
Ohlenforst in the	same target years.
Office of	Years of 2016/2017
Institutional	data will not be
Research. been	available until next
met yet?	year.
Not met	

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Implement new ways of increasing undergraduate research.(Imported)

Goal/Objective	Implement ne	Implement new ways of increasing undergraduate research.(Imported)						
Legends	OO - Outcom	OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);						
Standards/Outco mes								
	Identifier	[Description					
	Student SI.StudentIncrease student productivity and success through engagement in mentored research, innovative projects, and creative endeavors.							
	Student SI.S SI 3.KPI 11		Develop an undergraduate research initiative that will provide research opportunities for all undergraduate students, regardless of major.					
Assessment Measures								
	Assessmen Measure	t C	Criterion					
	Indirect - Aca Indirect Mea (Other)	sure C	Tabulate increased or decreased use of Undergraduate Research Conference (URC) to determine growth of student usage. Increase numbers of students attending and participating in the conference eac year by 20 students per academic year.					
Assessment		· · ·						
Findings					1			
	Assessme nt Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvem ent Narratives			
	Indirect - Academic Indirect	Has the criterion Tabulate increased o	Students attending the 2017 or Undergradu	URC_Faculty_Vs_Students_2015_20 17.docx	- Assessmen t Process: Continuous			

dooroocod	ata		monitoring
easure decreased	ate		monitoring:
ther) use of	Research		Continue to
Undergradu	Conference		track the
ate	(URC)		number of
Research	totaled 136		students
Conference	students. In		participatin
(URC) to	comparison,		g in URC,
determine	students		and create
growth of	that		new
student	attended in		outreach
usage.	2016 totaled		plans if
Increase	110. This		numbers
numbers of	was an		fall below
students	increase of		expectation
attending	26 students		s of adding
and	from		20 more
participating	multiple		students in
in the	schools		attendance
conference	attending		per year.
each year	the		
by 20	conference		
students per	as		
academic	compared to		
year. been	the year		
met yet?	prior.		
Met	Honors is		
	currently on		
	a path to		
	continue		
	increasing		
	students		
	each year		
	by the		
	objective		
	set.		
	361.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Update and remodel third floor of Honors building to create larger classroom facilities, new media lab, and enhance storage space.(Imported)

Goal/Objective		Update and remodel third floor of Honors building to create larger classroom facilities, new media lab, and enhance storage space.(Imported)				
Legends	PO - Program Object	PO - Program Objective (academic units); OO - Outcome/Objective (administrative units);				
Standards/Outcomes						
	Identifier Description					
	FacultySignificantly upgrade academic facilities related to instructionSI.Faculty SI 1meet or exceed the quality of those at peer institutions.					
	Faculty SI.Faculty SI 1.KPI 1	Within the first year, develop a master plan to evaluate and prioritize upgrades to academic facilities.				

	Faculty SI.Faculty SI 1.KPI 3	SI.Faculty SI		Equip 90 percent of all classrooms with minimum digital technology: internet access, laptop/computer workstations, LCD projectors, screens, and sound systems.				
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 2		Expand recruitment of high-potential undergraduate and graduate students, which embraces diversity and enhances the university					
Assessment Measures								
	Assessment Criterion Measure							
		Indirect - AcademicDetermine the increase in funding for development of facilities. conjunction with students, parents, and community, raise \$500						
Assessment Findings						1		
	Assessment Measure	Crite	erion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives		
	Indirect - Academic Indirect Measure (Other)	Dete incre fundi deve facili conju stude and raise refur floor Colla Univ on ca crea oppo throu netw contur refur	ortunities	Goal half-way met. Money has not been raised for \$500, 000, but expectation of funds needed to refurbish third floor has stayed steady since previous fundraising event. However, collaborations with QEP Board is in works to provide half of building for Undergraduate Research office and other half of floor for Honors, which will decrease needed cost from Honors to finish floor by half. It will, however, decrease the amount of space on floor for Honors' usage.		- Assessment Process: Targets / Criteria for Success changed: Instead of the Goal/Objective including classroom facilities, space will instead be used for media lab only, and storage space will be moved to second floor next to a lounge.		

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Assessment function for assessing program effectiveness regarding excellence in student learning.(Imported)

Goal/Objective		Assessment function for assessing program effectiveness regarding excellence in student learning.(Imported)					
Legends	OO - Outcome/	Objective (administrative u	ınits);			
Standards/Outc omes							
	Identifier Description						
	Student SI.Student SI			graduate outstanding students (undergradua and nontraditional; transfer and returning ac			
	Student SI.Student SI			gagement in co-curricular activities through a rally diverse university community	a vigorous,		
Assessment Measures							
	Assessment Measure						
	Indirect - Exit Interviews Gather information detailing supplemental education opportunities of the Honors Program taken by Honors students, as well as Honors Program initiatives for student success, and how program expands learning abilitie different ways. For cycle, create and administer exit surveys for each sen that provide baseline data about institutional effectiveness.						
Assessment Findings	Assessm C ent Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvem ent Narratives		
	Exit c. Interviews G ir n su n e o e H H P ta ta H H	las the riterion Sather of detailing uppleme tal ducation pportuniti s of the lonors rogram aken by lonors tudents, s well as lonors Program	Fall 2017 Exit Surveys completed with a sample of approximate ly 20 students who were graduating. Most used education opportunitie s by this cohort included contracts and	Exit_Survey_Spring_2018_Analysis_RES ULTS.docx Exit_Survey_Fall_2017_Analysis_RESUL TS.docx	- Assessme nt Process Continuou s monitoring Continue to collect data and monitor patterns in data to assess needed changes.		

	initiatives	department	
	for student	al courses;	
	success,	Honors	
	and how	Program	
		initiative for	
	program		
	expands	student	
	learning	success	
	abilities in	most	
	different	appreciated	
	ways. For	were early	
	cycle,	registration,	
	create and	the	
	administer	computer	
	exit	lab, and	
	surveys	staff;	
	for each	expansion	
	semester	of learning	
	that	abilities	
	provide	included	
	baseline	effective	
	data about	communicat	
	institutiona	ion,	
	1	research	
	effectivene	skills, and	
	ss. been	higher order	
	met yet?	thinking.	
	Met	Spring 2018	
		Exit Surveys	
		completed	
		with a	
		sample of	
		approximate	
		ly 73	
		students	
		who were	
		graduating.	
		Most used	
		education	
		opportunitie	
		s by this	
		cohort	
		included	
		contracts	
		and	
		department	
		al courses;	
		Honors	
		Program	
		initiative for	
		student	
		success	
		most	
		appreciated	
		were early	
		registration,	
		the	

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Provide Biology with funding for student research.

	Assessm ent Measure	Crite	rion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvem ent Narratives		
Assessment Findings								
					h laboratory. Project completion will be electronic communications.	monitored by		
	Direct - Proj	ject	Honors Program donating \$5,000 to Biology department for new					
	Assessmer Measure	nt	Criterio	n				
Assessment Measures								
	SI.Faculty	SI 1	meet o	r exceed the q	uality of those at peer institutions.			
	Faculty		Significantly upgrade academic facilities related to instruction in order to					
	Identifier		Descri	ption				
Standards/Outc omes								
Legends	PO - Program	n Objec	ctive (aca	demic units);				
Goal/Objective	Provide Biology with funding for student research.							

Direct -	Has the	Honors	Amazon.comOrder_114_0871449_6	-
Project	criterion	Program	992244.pdf	Resources
	Honors	was not		/ Resource
	Program	asked for		Allocation
	donating	full \$5,000		(for
	\$5,000 to	donation		Education
	Biology	for		al
	department	laboratory		Programs:
	for new	improveme		Continue
	undergradua	nts, but		to stay
	te research	Honors		alert for
	laboratory.	Program		any help
	Project	did provide		Honors
	completion	\$326.50 in		can give to
	will be monitored by	assistance with chairs		assist other
	continued	for the		departmen
	updates via	laboratory.		ts with
	electronic	laboratory.		funding
	communicati			initiatives.
	ons, been			
	met yet?			
	Met			
			1	

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Provide 8 new scholarships, 3 new research scholarships, and 2 new study abroad scholarships.

Goal/Objective	Provide 8 new sch scholarships.	olarships, 3 new research scholarships, and 2 new study abroad
Legends	PO - Program Obj	ective (academic units);
Standards/Outcomes		
	Identifier	Description
	Student SI.Student SI 1	Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).
Assessment Measures		
	Assessment Measure	Criterion
	Indirect - Honors / Awards	The Honors Program will provide in the Fall semester scholarships of \$500 each to sophomores and above, including: UL Honors Scholarship in Political Science, UL Honors Scholarship in Kinesiology, UL Honors Scholarship in Moving Image Arts, UL Honors Scholarship in Architecture, UL Honors Scholarship in Biology, UL Honors Scholarship in Humanities (English, History, Philosophy or Modern Languages), UL Honors Scholarship in Business, UL Honors Scholarship in Nursing, and 3 UL Honors Scholarships for Baccalaureate Students for their success in ongoing Undergraduate thesis research. The Honors Program will provide in the Spring semester scholarships of \$500 each to sophomores and

		above, including: UL each.	Honors Study Abro	ad Scholarships -	– 2 at \$1,000
Assessment Findings					
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives
	Indirect - Honors / Awards	Has the criterion The Honors Program will provide in the Fall semester scholarships of \$500 each to sophomores and above, including: UL Honors Scholarship in Political Science, UL Honors Scholarship in Kinesiology, UL Honors Scholarship in Moving Image Arts, UL Honors Scholarship in Architecture, UL Honors Scholarship in Biology, UL Honors Scholarship in Biology, UL Honors Scholarship in Humanities (English, History, Philosophy or Modern Languages), UL Honors Scholarship in Business, UL Honors Scholarship in Nursing, and 3 UL Honors Scholarships for Baccalaureate Students for their success in ongoing Undergraduate thesis research. The Honors Program will provide in the Spring semester scholarships of \$500 each to sophomores and above, including: UL Honors Study Abroad Scholarships - 2 at \$1,000 each. been met yet? Met	New Honors scholarships were provided for both Fall and Spring semester according to the criterion in the goal/objective.		- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: Continue to provide scholarships and make space in budget for new scholarships.

Assessment List Findings for the Assessment Measure level for Increase the number of informed, incoming students to the Honors Program.

Goal/Objective	Increase the number of informed, incoming students to the Honors Program.						
Legends	SLO - Student Learning Outcome/Objective (academic units); PO - Program Objective (academic units);						
Standards/Outcomes							
	Identifier		Description				
	Student SI.Student SI	1	Recruit, retain, and graduate outstanding students (undergraduate and graduate; traditional and nontraditional; transfer and returning adults).				
	Student SI.Student SI 1.KPI 2		Expand recruitment of high-potential undergraduate and graduate students, which embraces diversity and enhances the university				
Assessment Measures							
	Assessment Measure	Cı	iterion				
	Indirect - Graduate AcceptanceThree officer positions were created on the Honors Board for student commit to recruitment practices at high schools. Students were asked devise an active recruiting protocol for attending high schools, and we asked to set up the appointments. Honors board members will attend schools to provide possible incoming students an experiential view of what the Honors Program means for a college student. Also, high sch students will discuss with board members the implications of a good education, the opportunities provided for research and discovery in Honors, and the freshman thesis and baccalaureate scholarship. The number of student gains from Fall 2017 before the recruitment and Fa 2018 after recruitment will be assessed through a data count of the number of incoming freshmen and an analysis of the high schools attended by our Honors Board officers.				s were asked to lools, and were rs will attend high ential view of lso, high school s of a good scovery in blarship. The ment and Fall bunt of the		
Assessment Findings							
	Assessment Measure	Criterion		Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives	
	Indirect - Graduate Acceptance	Three positi creat Honc stude to rec pract	he criterion e officer ons were ed on the ors Board for ents to commit cruitment ices at high ols. Students	Honors Board created new officer positions called "Honors Ambassadors" and delegated positions to other Honors Program members to volunteer		- Assessment Process: Continuous monitoring: Continue to track high schools visited and number of incoming	

	were asked to	attending high	students to see
	devise an active	schools.	effects of
	recruiting protocol	Appointments were	recruitment.
	for attending high	made with the high	
	schools, and were	schools to attend	
	asked to set up the	and present	
	appointments.	information to	
	Honors board	students about the	
	members will	Honors Program	
	attend high	and provide	
	schools to provide	packets to	
	possible incoming	students. Packets	
	students an	contained a	
	experiential view	brochure,	
	of what the Honors	information about	
	Program means	benefits in the	
	for a college	program, and a list	
	student. Also, high	of scholarship	
	school students	opportunities	
	will discuss with	through Honors.	
	board members	Schools attended	
	the implications of	by Honors	
	a good education,	Ambassadors	
	the opportunities	included: Lafayette	
	provided for	High School,	
	research and	Acadiana High	
	discovery in	School, David Thibodaux STEM	
	Honors, and the freshman thesis		
	and baccalaureate	Magnet Academy, and New Iberia	
	scholarship. The	Senior High	
	number of student	School. For Fall	
	gains from Fall	2017, the number	
	2017 before the	of new attending	
	recruitment and	students was 639.	
	Fall 2018 after	In Fall 2018, the	
	recruitment will be	number of entering	
	assessed through	students is 653, a	
	a data count of the	slight increase.	
	number of	Schools that	
	incoming freshmen	received emailed	
	and an analysis of	packets:	
	the high schools	Mandeville High	
	attended by our	School Neville High	
	Honors Board	School Ouachita	
	officers. been met	Parish High School	
	yet?	St. Amant High	
	Met	School Academy of	
		the Sacred Heart	
		Alexandria Senior	
		High School	
		Archbishop	
		Hannan High	
		School C.E. Byrd	
		High School Caddo	
		Parish Magnet	

High School	
Calvary Baptist	
Academy Carrol	
High School	
Ellender Memorial	
High School	
Fontainebleau High	
School Hahnville	
High School	
Haughton High	
School Jesuit High	
School LSMSA	
Minden High	
School Mount	
Carmel High	
School North	
Webster High	
School Ouachita	
Christian High	
School Pineville	
High School	
Richwood High	
School Southwood	
High School	
Terrebonne High	
School Thibodaux	
High School	
Vandebilt Catholic	
High School West	
Monroe High	
School West	
Ouachita High	
School Wossman	
High School	

Reflection (Due 9/15/18)

Reflection

The primary purpose of assessment is to use data to inform decisions and improve programs and operations; this is an on-going process of defining goals and expectations, collecting results, analyzing data, comparing current and past results and initiatives, and making decisions based on these reflections. Recalling this purpose, respond to the questions below.

1) How were assessment results shared in the program / department? Please select all that apply. If "other", please use the text box to elaborate. Distributed via email (selected) Presented formally at staff / department / committee meetings (selected)

Discussed informally (selected) Other (explain in text box below)

2) How frequently were assessment results shared?

Frequently (>4 times per cycle) (selected) Periodically (2-4 times per cycle) Once per cycle Results were not shared this cycle

3) With whom were assessment results shared?

Please select all that apply. Department Head (selected) Dean / Asst. or Assoc. Dean (selected) Departmental assessment committee Other faculty / staff (selected)

4) Consider the impact of prior applied changes. Specifically, compare current results to previous results to evaluate the impact of a previously reported change. Demonstrate how the use of results improved student learning and/or operations.

Providing networking opportunities between on campus affiliates and measuring its effectiveness through qualitative reporting shows an increased likelihood of building improved facilities for student use on the third floor of the Honors building. Measuring the number of students attending the Undergraduate Research Conference displayed that the trend of the conference is leading towards more participation by students, and provided Honors a motivation to continue expanding the reach of the conference. Reflecting on the measure of monies in budgetary spending provided additional scholarship monies for students. Recruitment measurements are a beginning trend with the creation of Honors Ambassadors for recruiting local schools, and results will begin to be compared in the coming academic years. Measuring through an exit survey student assessments of usefulness of different incentives as well as opportunities and student learning ability improvement has provided an excellent tool that helps Honors decide if its continuing trends of allocation of resources is appropriate and successful. As well, by extending focus to the measurable effects of Thesis Prep enrollment and including comment sections for faculty, Honors was able to create a plan for the future to increase enrollment and to continue to provide a scholarship to help create an exponential increase. Additionally, counting the amount of completed contracts displayed the need to continue assessment and ensure that students understand the importance of completing a contract. Counting the number of cross-listed and departmental classes and comparing to previous semesters shows the pattern of changing need in Honors students and reiterates how Honors might best serve our students with appropriate active learning classes to the benefit of their future.

5) Over the past three assessment cycles, what has been the overall impact of "closing the loop"? Provide examples of improvements in student learning, program quality, or department operations that are directly linked to assessment data and follow-up analysis.

Honors was able to pinpoint multiple growth-based trends and outcomes that express an upward momentum for the program as a whole. As well, needed changes have been noted. Thesis outcome surveys need to be available for delivery in both semesters with appropriate filing spaces for hard-copy and digital reporting. Institutional Research must be contacted as a valued connector of data for retention in a timely manner, and this data needs to be evaluated either bi-annually or in a scheduled annual fashion. Additionally, creating a quid pro quo opportunity for other offices to help us with our third floor may be our best option for funding the construction in Judice-Rickels Hall to completion, and we are beginning to see a possible collaboration between a burgeoning Undergraduate Research Office and the Honors Program. In effect, the Undergraduate Research Conference is a growing tool that will help provide the campus as a whole a great space to improve, understand, display, and support undergraduate research, including a recent provision to e-publish students moving towards professional careers paths. Additionally, Honors is providing more courses, contracts,

and funding opportunities to students than before, and more students are taking these opportunities than before. As more recruitment for students becomes applied through our Honors Ambassador program, it will become paramount that more opportunities and the monies that supply those new larger cohorts of students with opportunities be considered in future trends. An annual report provided by our IT staff member, Jason Suire, is attached to provide an appendix of improvements, achievements, and data not mentioned specifically in Results and Improvements, and is a new program initiative which will, as per current academic trends, be provided annually. As well, the current E-Publication for the Undergraduate Research Conference has been attached to provide another example of improved program opportunities for student research.

Attachments (optional)

Upload any documents which support the program / department assessment process. 2017_2018_Annual_Report.pdf E_Publication_URC_Vol_1_2017.pdf